

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Cer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/945,187	08/31/2001	Ken Ostrom	35706.2300	8503
34398	7590 08/22/2006		EXAMINER	
THEODORE E. GALENTHAY			PATEL, RAJNIKANT B	
PRIMARION PO BOX 2630	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255-0155			2838	
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Anntinantin			
Office Action Summany		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/945,187	OSTROM ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rajnikant B. Patel	2838			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	□ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 July 2006</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 13-45 and 52-55 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) 13-45 and 52-55 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 7/06.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) The invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 13-32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rinconb-Mora et al. (U.S. patent # 6,188,211). Art Unit: 2838

Rincon-Mora et al. disclose the claimed subject matters a power regulator (figure 1 and 4), including a negative transient response (column 7, line 40-45), a positive transient response (column 9, line 1-9) and transient response (column 5, line 1-5, line 30-60 and column 7, line 45-50), a sense transistor (figure 1, item 28), a current source (figure 1, item 34). In regards to claims 17-20 and 24-28, the utilization of bipolar transistor or MOS transistor is a obvious design choice (In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416). In regards to claim 32 (column 8, line 65-70).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 33-45 and 52-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rincon-Mora et al. (U.S. patent # 6,188,211) in combination with Roberts et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,166,850) and Norris et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,477,858). Rincon-Mora et al. disclose the claimed subject matters as explained in the claims 1-32, above, except the utilization of the technique for a slow transient response and fast transient response and an amplifier including a transconductance stage, a boost circuit, and sense circuit. However Roberts et al. teaches the utilization of similar technique for a slow transient response and fast transient response (column6, line 60-70 and column

Application/Control Number: 09/945,187 Page 4

Art Unit: 2838

7, line 1-25) and Norris et al. teaches the utilization of technique for an amplifier including a transconductance stage, a boost circuit, and sense circuit (figure 10C, item 2006 and 2124). It would have been obvious one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rincon-Mora et al.'s voltage regulation circuit by utilizing the technique taught by Roberts et al. and Norris et al. for the purpose of enabling/disabling of a control system by operation of a transient magnitude threshold sensor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2082. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on 571-272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RAJNIKANT B. PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER